

Management Discussion and Analysis

This management discussion and analysis of financial position and results of operations of Atlanta Gold Inc. (the "Company") and its subsidiary for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 has been prepared as of August 26, 2014.

The discussion below should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company prepares and files its financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

All amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars and all amounts in financial tables, except per share amounts, are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, in each case unless otherwise indicated.

Additional information relating to the Company is filed with securities regulatory authorities in Canada and is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Cautionary Statement on Forward Looking Information

This document includes "forward-looking information" and "forward-looking statements" (collectively, "forward-looking information"), within the meaning of applicable securities legislation, concerning the Company's business, operations, financial performance, condition and prospects, as well as management's objectives and strategies. Forward-looking information is based on assumptions, estimates, analysis and opinions of management made in light of its experience and its perception of trends, current conditions and expected developments, as well as other factors which the Company believes to be relevant and reasonable in the circumstances.

Forward-looking information is frequently identified by the use of words such as "may", "will", "could", "believe", "intend", "expect", "seek", "anticipate", "plan", "continue", "estimate", "predict", "potential" and similar terminology suggesting outcomes or statements regarding an outlook. Forward-looking information is included in the "Outlook" section of this MD&A as well as elsewhere in this document. Specifically, this document contains forward-looking information regarding, among other things, the effects of the Company's mining strategy on gold recovery rates and the environmental impact at its Atlanta Project; the impact of increasing the open-pit mining cut-off grade on the recoveries and economics of the Atlanta Project; the interpretation of exploration results received to date and the expected enhancement of the gold resource at the Atlanta Project following the completion of additional exploration; the economic and environmental benefits arising from, and the effectiveness of modifications to be made to, the gold extraction process being tested by the Company; the completion of additional bulk samples and test processing and the timing thereof; the development of a gold mine and potential commercial gold production levels at the Atlanta Project and the timing thereof; the conduct of mining operations at the Neal Property; the completion of future financings and the use of proceeds therefrom; the continuance and enhancement of environmental initiatives, including the implementation of the Supplemental Plan of Operations; the completion of the reclamation program at the Brodeur diamond property; the continued effectiveness of the passive water treatment system; the continuance of developmental initiatives including securing requisite permits; and the time needed prior to commencement of mining and production at Atlanta. In addition, statements relating to mineral resources are deemed to be forward-looking information, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the mineral resources described can be profitably produced in the future.

Forward-looking information involves known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual events and the Company's actual results to differ materially from those predicted, expressed or implied by the forward-looking information and readers are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward-looking information and to carefully consider the risks and uncertainties involved with respect to such forward-looking information. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the Company's limited financial resources and its ability to raise sufficient funds on a timely basis to fund the capital and operating expenses, to fund its debt repayment obligations, to fully implement the Supplemental Plan of Operations, to achieve its business objectives and to continue as a going concern; risks associated with the mining industry (including operating risks in exploration, development and production; delays or changes in plans with respect to exploration or development projects or capital expenditures; uncertainties relating to the interpretation of the geology, continuity, grade and size estimates of the mineral resource; the uncertainty of estimates and projections in relation to production, costs and expenses); the uncertainty surrounding the ability of the Company to obtain and the expected time to obtain all permits, consents or authorizations required for its operations and activities; and health, safety and environmental risks, adverse weather conditions, and the risk of fluctuations in gold prices and foreign exchange rates.

Such forward-looking information is based on a number of assumptions, including but not limited to, the successful and timely completion of additional financings, the expected timelines necessary to complete and the successful completion of exploration, development, permitting and pre-production activities, the level and volatility of the price of gold, the accuracy of resource estimates (including with respect to size, grade and recoverability) and the geological, operating and price assumptions on which they are based, the ability to achieve capital and operating cost estimates, and general business and economic conditions. Should one or more risks materialize or should any assumptions prove to be incorrect, then actual results could vary materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking information.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list of risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors is not exhaustive. These and other factors should be considered carefully by readers, who should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking information. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking information or the foregoing list of factors, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

Cautionary Note to United States Investors Concerning Estimates of Mineral Resources

The mineral resource estimates reported in this document were prepared in accordance with *National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* (“**NI 43-101**”) as required by Canadian securities regulatory authorities. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “**SEC**”) applies different standards in order to classify and report mineralization. This document uses the terms “measured”, “indicated” and “inferred” mineral resources, as required by NI 43-101. Readers are advised that although such terms are recognized and required by Canadian securities regulations, the SEC does not recognize such terms. Canadian standards differ significantly from the requirements of the SEC. Readers are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the mineral deposits in these categories constitute or will ever be converted into mineral reserves. In addition, “inferred” mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource exists, is economically or legally mineable or will ever be upgraded to a higher category of mineral resource.

OVERVIEW

The Company's shares trade on the TSX Venture Exchange (TSX.V: ATG) and on the OTCQX (OTCQX: ATLDF).

The Company is engaged in the exploration, environmental permitting, engineering and development of the Atlanta Gold project ("**Atlanta Project**"), an advanced-stage gold exploration property near Atlanta, Idaho, U.S.A, through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Atlanta Gold Corporation ("**AGC**").

In early 2008, the Company adopted a combined open pit and bulk tonnage underground mining strategy, abandoning the prior low grade open pit mining and cyanide heap leach strategy, which was regarded as unpermissible. In 2008, the decision was also made to process the ore on site, making both a gravity gold concentrate and a precious metal rich sulphide concentrate, thereby also minimizing environmental impact, and significantly improving recoveries (from 63% to 83% for gold). The hypothesis that the Company decided to test was that (1) a significant gold deposit both near surface and at depth could be outlined, (2) the revised mining and processing strategy would be much easier to permit, because the environmental impact would be minimized, and (3) the potential for a long term operation would be very attractive to the local community and to the State of Idaho, because of the expected economic and social benefits of the Atlanta Project.

The change in strategy required almost a complete restart of the resource definition. Over the next four years the Company outlined an Indicated mineral resource of 785,000 gold equivalent ounces within 7.77 million tons at an average grade of 0.101 ounces per ton ("**opt**") (3.46 grams per tonne) ("**gpt**") AuEq and an Inferred mineral resource of 397,300 gold equivalent ounces contained within 2.72 million tons at an average grade of 0.146 opt (5.01 gpt) AuEq. Approximately 74.3% of this resource is open pitable. Details of this resource, including gold and silver ounces and the respective grades thereof, are shown in the table below under "*NI 43-101 Resource Estimate*". Additional detail is available on SEDAR and the Company's website.

This more selective method of ore extraction positively addresses environmental concerns identified during previous permitting efforts. Management is confident that by continuing to work closely with environmental groups, the town of Atlanta and surrounding communities, federal, state and local agencies as well as other stakeholders, it will be successful in obtaining the regulatory approvals necessary to develop a combined open pit and underground mine at Atlanta in a timely manner.

The Company expects that significant economic and environmental benefits will be realized from using a pilot processing method which was used by Knife River Corporation ("**Knife River**") at the Gold Hill Mine which is located approximately 50 miles from the Atlanta Project. This type of processing facility will allow the Company to advance the Atlanta Project while reducing capital investment and reducing power consumption and other operating costs associated with a conventional mill. In addition, this is an environmentally friendly method which uses and recycles water, eliminates water discharge and collects and concentrates all heavy metals. The Company continues to evaluate and is proposing to use various improved technologies to minimize the surface disturbance and reduce the projected environmental impact of the Atlanta Project as the Company moves forward to production.

To further test and improve upon the processing method, Knife River will contract mine, on AGC's behalf, certain patented lode mining claims, known as the Neal Property, located approximately 15 miles

from Boise, Idaho. The Neal Property's geology is similar to that of the Atlanta Project and the Neal Property will provide the Company with all-season access to further refine the processing equipment and procedures. In June 2014, Knife River assigned certain of its rights and obligations under its lease with the owner of the Neal Property to AGC. Knife River also agreed to contract mine and transport the select mineralized material to Knife River's private property where it will be processed by AGC.

NI 43-101 Resource Estimate

In January 2012, P&E Mining Consultants Inc. ("P&E") of Brampton, Ontario completed an independent updated resource estimate on the Company's Atlanta property in Idaho, USA. The estimate incorporates all drilling results to date, including the 56,924 foot (17,350 metre) core drilling program completed in 2011.

P&E estimates an Indicated mineral resource of 752,000 gold ounces within 7.77 million tons at an average grade of 0.097 opt (3.32 gpt) Au and an Inferred mineral resource of 385,900 ounces contained within 2.72 million tons at an average grade of 0.142 opt (4.87 gpt) Au. Using a gold to silver price ratio of 50.35:1, the updated Indicated mineral resource is 785,000 gold equivalent ("AuEq") ounces within 7.77 million tons at an average grade of 0.101 opt (3.46 gpt) AuEq and the Inferred mineral resource is 397,300 AuEq ounces within 2.72 million tons at an average grade of 0.146 opt (5.01 gpt) AuEq.

Details of the P&E resource estimate as at January 31, 2012 are provided in the following table:

Area	Tons (000's)	GOLD				SILVER				TOTAL EQUIVALENT OUNCES OF GOLD (000's)
		Cut-Off Grade Au	Grade		Ounces of Gold (000's)	Grade		Ounces of Silver (000's)	Ounces of Silver as Gold Equivalent	
			Ounces Per Ton Au	Grams Per Tonne Au		Ounces Per Ton Ag	Grams Per Tonne Ag			
OPEN -PIT:										
Indicated	7,140	0.035	0.091	3.13	652.4	0.218	7.47	1,556.4	29.6	682.0
Inferred	1,478	0.035	0.127	4.36	188.2	0.275	9.43	406.5	7.8	196.0
UNDERGROUND:										
Indicated	633	0.098	0.157	5.40	99.6	0.163	5.59	103.2	3.4	103.0
Inferred	1,239	0.098	0.160	5.47	197.7	0.153	5.25	189.6	3.6	201.3
TOTAL:										
Indicated	7,773		0.097	3.32	752.0	0.214	7.32	1,659.6	33.0	785.0
Inferred	2,717		0.142	4.87	385.9	0.219	7.52	596.1	11.4	397.3

1. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
2. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimate are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured mineral resource category.
3. The mineral resources in the above table were estimated using the CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions.
4. Gold equivalent ("AuEq") was calculated such that one ounce of Au = 50.35 ounces Ag. Metal prices used were the January 31, 2012 two-year trailing average for Au at \$1,419/oz and Ag at \$28.18/oz with respective mill recoveries of 83% for gold and 88% for silver. Prevailing metal prices at January 31, 2012 were \$1,744.00 per ounce of gold and \$33.60 for silver.
5. The historically mined tonnage from historic operations was removed from the block model.

6. *Gold cut-off grades of 0.035 opt (1.20 gpt) for open pit and 0.098 opt (3.36 gpt) for underground resources were established from metal prices, expected recoveries, and estimated operating costs. Operating costs for the open pit resource estimate cut-off grade calculation were mining costs of \$2 per ton, G&A expenses of \$8 per ton and processing and concentrate shipping and smelter charges of \$32 per ton. Operating costs for the underground resource estimate cut-off grade calculation were mining costs of \$60 per ton; G&A expenses of \$8 per ton and concentrate shipping and smelter charges of \$42 per ton.*

Mineral resources contained within a preliminary optimized pit shell are considered to be amenable to lower cost open pit extraction, whereas mineral resources below this are considered to be amenable to underground extraction. Open pit slopes were 50 degrees.

The average gold equivalent grade (including silver resources as a gold equivalent) of the open pit resource is 0.096 opt (3.28 gpt) AuEq in the Indicated resource classification and 0.133 opt (4.55 gpt) AuEq in the Inferred open pit resource classification. The average gold equivalent grade of the underground resource is 0.163 opt (5.58 gpt) AuEq in the Indicated resource classification and 0.162 opt (5.57 gpt) AuEq in the Inferred underground resource classification.

In light of the Company's decision to focus on environmental permitting and engineering and economic studies required to bring the Atlanta Project to production, in February 2012, P&E prepared a preliminary gold cut-off grade sensitivity analysis on the Atlanta Project's open-pit resource.

The open-pit contains 74.3% of the total NI 43-101 open-pit and underground resources, reflecting the density of diamond drilling in the upper portion of the resource.

Detailed economic studies will be required to optimize the cut-off grade, however, this preliminary sensitivity analysis indicates the potential to improve the Net Present Value of the Atlanta Project by creating a higher grade alternative, which would be expected to reduce operating costs per ounce, raise recoveries and concentrate grades, and reduce capital costs and the environmental footprint of the Project.

The majority of the current resource is located between the surface and the 6,200-foot elevation (a vertical depth of 1,000 feet (305 metres) from the top of Atlanta Hill). Surface expressions of mineralized shear zones in the Atlanta Project area cover a horizontal distance of 50,000 feet (15,250 metres). These mineralized shear zones have hosted numerous past-producing mines since the 1860s.

Other Properties

Neal Property, Idaho

In June 2014, Knife River assigned certain of its rights and obligations under its five-year lease with the owner of the Neal Property to AGC. Knife River also agreed to contract mine and transport the mineralized material from the Neal property to a location where it will be processed by AGC.

As the Company's focus continues to be on developing the Atlanta Project, the Company allowed its interest in the mineral claims comprising the Jackson Inlet diamond property located on the Brodeur Peninsula of Baffin Island ("**Brodeur**") to lapse during 2013, rather than incur further expenditures necessary to maintain the claims. The Company completed a portion of its reclamation and restoration obligations at Brodeur in August 2013 and again in March and April 2014. Adverse weather conditions terminated the final restoration and it is planned to be completed in 2015.

Abitibi gold property, Quebec, Canada:

The Company continues to hold other lower priority exploration properties, including the Abitibi gold property in eastern Quebec (“**Abitibi**”). The Company holds a 60% ownership interest in 27 claims comprising the Normar portion of the Abitibi property and a 100% interest in an additional 13 mining claims in the Abitibi area.

Atlanta Property History

Historic mining from 1860 to 1960 at Atlanta extracted 300,000 AuEq ounces at cut-off grades exceeding 0.4 opt (13.7 gpt) Au. The Atlanta property has been held by the Company since 1985.

In 2008, a geological hypothesis was developed indicating the potential for a significant gold deposit both near surface and at depth, based on the data from historic mining and applicable drill data available at the end of 2007. Also in early 2008, the Company decided to identify the potential for a combined open pit and underground operation with gold recovered in a process plant that combined 25% of the gold from a table gravity concentrate, and 75% from a flotation sulphide concentrate, to be sold to a Nevada processor.

Exploration core drilling carried out by the Company from 1985 through 2012 resulted in an updated resource of 785,000 gold equivalent ounces in the indicated resource category and 397,300 gold equivalent ounces in the inferred resource category.

Bench and pilot scale testing of various water/gravity processes, carried out by AGC from 2012 through 2014, indicate that gold concentration and recoveries greater than 80% are possible without the use of any chemicals.

Advantages are significant:

- 1) No cyanide use
- 2) Much smaller environmental footprint
- 3) Higher gold recoveries – (83% vs. 63%)
- 4) Longer Life-of-Mine potential

Recent Achievements:

In June 2014, AGC reached an agreement with Knife River whereby Knife River will contract mine on AGC’s behalf, certain patented lode mining claims known as the Neal Property. It is contiguous to Forest Service Road 189, 15 miles southeast of Boise, Idaho, in Elmore County and 45 miles southwest of the Atlanta Project within the same Trans-Atlanta-Challis fault alignment. The Neal Property and the Atlanta Project have similar geology. Daisy Mining & Land, LLP leased the claims to Knife River which then assigned key provisions of the lease to AGC. AGC staked an additional seven contiguous claims on public land that was open to mineral entry. Under the terms of the agreement, Knife River will mine and transport the mineralized materials from the Neal Property approximately 23 miles, to Knife River’s property located on Amyx Lane for crushing and delivery to AGC. AGC will process the materials at the Knife River property. In addition to the contract mining and transportation costs, AGC will be responsible for payment of a tonnage royalty of \$3 per dry ton of delivered tonnage and a 3% net smelter return royalty payable to the owner of the Neal Property.

Processing of the material from the Neal Property will enable AGC to further test and improve upon the processing equipment and procedures prior to implementing the process at the Atlanta Project on the bulk sample scheduled to be taken in 2015. The agreement with Knife River will also enable the processing of material from the Neal Property to continue during the winter months when the Atlanta Project is largely inaccessible.

To assist in funding the expenditures necessary to acquire the processing equipment and commence processing, the Company borrowed \$600,000 by means of a convertible loan as more fully described under "Debt Financing - Convertible Loan".

In June 2014, the Company completed road maintenance to enable a safer access to the Atlanta Project's excavation trench. In late June through early July of 2014, the Monarch Trench area was prepared to commence the exploration trenching planned to begin in July, subject to funding.

In May 2014, the Company completed successful bench scale testing of gold mineralization from the Atlanta Project. Gold at Atlanta occurs both as free gold and gold in association with arsenic sulphides. The goal of the testing was to develop a process flow that would separate gold mineralization from the rock and concentrate it for shipment off site for further processing. A process based on a Falcon centrifugal concentrator and American Mining Service Inc. gravity tables, which use only water and gravity, produced a concentrate that, based on a production rate of 1,000 tons per day and a feed grade of 0.075 opt, would result in a concentration rate of 50:1 producing a concentrate of 19.7 tons at a grade of 3.147 opt. The concentrate would contain 62.0 ounces of gold which would be a recovery of 82.6% of total gold.

In June 2014, the Company defined a flow sheet for its bulk sample process program. Knife River is expected to excavate and crush a bulk sample to -50 mesh and stockpile the sample for processing by the Company. The results of the bulk sample testing will determine the ultimate design of the future process plant and recovery. The expected processing of the bulk sample is expected to proceed as follows:

1. The gold mineralized material will be mined and crushed to -50 mesh by the contractor and delivered to a feed bin at AGC's process facility.
2. The crushed material will be slurried and then fed to a Falcon SB centrifugal concentrator. Bench scale testing by Inspectorate Laboratories and Met-Solve Laboratories, both in Vancouver, B.C., show that recoveries greater than 42.5% of the total gold can be expected from the Falcon. This concentrate contains most of the free gold that will be shipped to a refinery.
3. The tailings from the Falcon will be fed to a set of M-10 gravity tables developed by American Mining Services in Sandy, Oregon. They will produce a middlings concentrate that will contain mostly refractory sulphide mineralization.
4. The tailings from the M-10 tables will be fed to a set of M-7 gravity tables for final clean concentrate recovery. The concentrate from the M-10 and M-7 tables will contain oxide and refractory mineralization that will be sent out of state for roaster or autoclave processing.
5. Both the M-10 and M-7 gravity tables use only water in a closed circuit system, and gravity as a separation medium. Bench scale testing has shown that up to 40.1% of the total gold can be captured from these tables.

6. The total gold recovered from both the Falcon and the M-10 and M-7 gravity separation tests was 82.6%. From an assumed 1,000 ton per day feed, it is expected to produce 62.0 ounces of gold per day. The total mass concentration rate was 50:1.
7. Free gold will be shipped directly to a refinery while the remaining arsenic bearing refractory concentrate will be shipped out of Idaho for processing.

An alternate flow sheet, based on a 1,000 tpd production rate, has also been developed where two additional Falcon SB centrifugal concentrators are substituted for the M-10 and M-7 gravity tables. As in the previously discussed flow sheet, after being crushed to -50 mesh, the mineralized material would be processed through a Falcon concentrator. The concentrate would be saved and the tailings would be sent through a second Falcon concentrator. The concentrate from this step would be saved and the tailings would be processed through a third Falcon centrifugal concentrator. This flow sheet would result in 19.6 tons per day of concentrate, averaging 3.097 opt gold. This would equal 60.7 ounces of gold per day. The amount of gold recovered would be 80.9% of the total gold and the final mass concentration would be 51:1.

The Company also completed the purchase of two houses in Atlanta, Idaho in the second quarter of 2014. Both houses had been rented to the Company in the past. The houses will accommodate staff members who will be assigned to the Atlanta Project and temporary employees who will be working different shift rotations.

Residential accommodations are now available for at least 25 workers. The purchase of this housing decreases the Company's monthly payments, increases the assets of the Company and provides further assurance of the Company's continuing confidence in the Atlanta Project.

AGC resolved the environmental issues arising from the naturally occurring arsenic, iron and other contaminants entering waterways as a result of ground water passing through historic mined out areas near the Atlanta Project. AGC installed an innovative water treatment facility in October 2012 and expanded it in 2013, which resulted in the achievement of better water quality than mandated by the thresholds set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Two events, the first in May and the second in June, caused arsenic and iron discharge from the water treatment facility at the Atlanta Project to exceed U.S. Environmental Protection Agency standards. High spring runoff and an uncontrolled release in water flow caused by a ground collapse in the cross-cut behind the bulkhead in the 900 cross-cut resulted in water cresting the bulkhead and flushing sediment from the floor of the cross-cut and carrying it downstream through the pre-pond solids filters, to the containment ponds. This could be seen as a 12 inch orange line above the normal high water mark on May 17. A further surge in water raised the pond surface water over 24" on June 10.

From the containment ponds, the water pushed over and through the filters in the water treatment facility, depositing silt throughout. The silt restricted or eliminated the flow of all of the filters in the system. Large scale cleaning of the water treatment facility has been completed and the PWTF restoration brought the facility into compliance.

These were the first incidents of exceedance at the water treatment facility in more than seven months of operation. The Company expects to complete the construction of a water-plug at the 900-level cross-cut during 2015, so as to manage the flow of water and ensure continuing compliance with the Clean Water Act.

Plan for Operations

The Company's primary focus remains on environmental permitting, economic analysis, engineering and development, and developing the organizational depth required to take the Atlanta Project through this next phase. The Company intends to establish an exploration and test processing program over the next two years which will serve as a model for a longer-term profitable and environmentally sustainable operation.

Additional bench scale metallurgical testing was undertaken during the first and second quarters of 2014. The tests were from material excavated from the main shear in the Monarch trench. The purpose was to test additional methods for concentrating the gold using only water and gravity.

A 204 kg (449 lb) sample of crushed mineralized material from Atlanta was shipped to Inspectorate Labs-Vancouver, B.C. on January 2, 2014. The purpose was to run a test of the gravity recoverable gold (GRG) through a Falcon concentrator. The sample was run through a rod mill and ground to various size fractions for testing. The head grade (average grade of the sample before processing) was found to be 1.60 g/t gold (0.047 opt). The testing showed that of the gold contained in the original sample, 39.7% was recovered by grinding to 150 mesh. The grade of the concentrate was 53.49 g/t (1.560 opt).

A second sample from the Atlanta trench site, weighing 24 kg (52.8 lbs), was sent to Met-Solve Laboratories in Vancouver on February 28, 2014, for bench scale testing using Falcon centrifugal concentrators. The head grade of the sample was 2.07 g/t gold (0.060 opt). The Falcon SB40 concentrator recovered 42.5% of the total gold at a grade of 85.36 g/t (2.490 opt). This was from the coarser fraction of material (+116 mesh). This is very similar to the 39.7% of gold recovered by Inspectorate Labs through similar equipment in January.

On April 18, 2014, 40.9 kg (90 pounds) of mineralized material from the Atlanta trench site was taken to American Mine Services Inc. (AMS) in Sandy, Oregon, to be run through their patented M-7 gravity table. The sample was crushed to -1/4 inch and was then split to 30 pounds. The 13.6 kg (30 lbs) split was then crushed to approximately -50 mesh and processed through the gravity table. The head grade of the sample was 2.23 g/t (0.065 opt) gold. The concentrate had a grade of 11.35 g/t (0.331 opt) gold and the grade of the tailings was 0.82 g/t (0.024 opt) gold. A total of 40.1% of the gold contained in the sample was captured by the M-7, while 30.2% of the gold went to the tailings.

The results of the bench scale tests show that a combination of a Falcon concentrator and AMS gravity tables or multiple Falcon concentrators would produce a flow sheet that may result in an economically viable concentrate production.

Testing to date confirms that an ongoing program will be necessary to customize the process for the material extracted from the exploration trenches and to optimize recoveries.

Eric Berentsen, the Vice-President of AGC and a director of the Company, is a Registered Member of the Society for Mining Metallurgy and Exploration, Inc. (SME) and is the Company's qualified person as defined by NI 43-101 and has approved the foregoing disclosure of test results.

This strategy offers an initial mine plan targeting development to be completed by 2015, focusing on maximizing grades and gold recovery from near-surface material at attractive capital and operating costs.

In order to sustain its operations, the Company requires additional funds to discharge its liabilities, to conduct its work program on the Atlanta Project and to meet its overhead expenses. The Company intends to seek additional capital by means of equity financings and/or additional debt financings. Challenging financial markets and the decline in gold prices have adversely affected the Company's share price and its ability to finance planned activities and ongoing operations. While management believes that the Company will ultimately be successful in obtaining additional financing, delays in completing, or the inability to complete, additional financings will result in significant delays in carrying out the Company's planned activities and will adversely affect the Company's ability to fund its commitments under its outstanding indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, business and operations.

Environmental Matters

Southwestern Idaho is an environmentally sensitive area due to its proximity to the City of Boise and the presence of recreational rivers in the area. The Company believes that it has removed the most significant environmental hurdles by achieving compliance with the effluent limits of the Clean Water Act, modifying the process technology, planning to process high sulphide concentrates out of state in order to reduce acid-generating capacity of waste tailings product on the Atlanta Project site, and by relocating the proposed processing facility and tailings management facility to a more advantageous area. In addition, the Company continues to have open communications with the United States Forest Service (“USFS”) to ensure that it knows what is being planned, and all parties understand each other's concerns. This optimizes the Company's ability to create an environmentally friendly mining project at Atlanta.

Environmental Litigation

Companies previously in production at the historic mine site drove an adit in 1917 at the 900 level (the “Adit”) and groundwater drains from the Adit. The area contains naturally-occurring arsenic, which enters the waterways as a result of water passing through historic mined-out areas in the mineralized structure. In 2006, AGC constructed, and began operating a pilot water treatment facility (“PWTF”) which treated water flowing from the Adit to remove significant levels of naturally-occurring contaminants, including arsenic. AGC holds no interest in the land on which the Adit had been located nor does it have any right to use the groundwater that is discharged from the Adit. Subsequent to construction of the PWTF, permitted limits for effluents, including for arsenic, were significantly reduced. The permitted discharge limit for arsenic was reduced from 190 to 10 micrograms per liter (10 µ/L) or parts per billion. The PWTF as constructed was not capable of meeting these significantly lower limits. AGC subsequently modified its treatment regime and engaged consultants to evaluate various treatment options.

Following complaints filed by two environmental interest groups, in 2012, the United States District Court for the State of Idaho held that AGC had violated the effluent limits of the United States Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the “Clean Water Act”). The Court imposed a \$2,000,000 penalty against AGC and ordered AGC to implement measures to come into compliance with the effluent discharge limits. AGC subsequently completed the installation of a passive water filter system to the PWTF and AGC has successfully maintained compliance with the requirements of the Clean Water Act. In May 2014, high spring run-off and an uncontrolled release of water flow caused by a ground collapse in the cross-cut behind the bulkhead, caused the discharge limits to be temporarily exceeded. The discharge was captured in the containment ponds. AGC subsequently replaced the filters, treatment materials and removed the sediments from the ponds. Compliance with the requirements of the Clean Water Act was restored.

In September 2013, the Court entered a final judgment in this matter pursuant to which the penalty would be paid over a five-year period. AGC has made the required payments to date of \$125,000 and is required to pay a further installment of \$25,000 by September 30, 2014. Thereafter, AGC will be required to pay four quarterly installments of \$75,000 per quarter, following which the installments will increase to \$100,000 per quarter for the third, fourth and fifth years; and a balloon payment in the amount of \$450,000 will be due September 30, 2018, at which time the penalty will be paid in full. The penalty amount bears interest at a rate of 0.1% per annum from November 28, 2012 and is secured by recording the Consent Judgment against AGC's East Amity Road property located in Boise, Idaho and by a security interest, granted to the U.S. Attorney's office, in four generators owned by AGC located on the East Amity property.

OWNERSHIP OF ATLANTA PROPERTIES

Atlanta consists of owned and leased patented and unpatented claims, as described below.

1. Monarch Greenback LLC

On April 28, 2011, AGC exercised its option to purchase a 100% interest in a property comprised of 33 mining claims totalling approximately 430 acres (the "**Monarch Property**") from Monarch Greenback LLC ("**Monarch**") for \$3,075,000. The purchase of the Monarch Property was completed on June 8, 2011. To assist in the financing of the purchase, the Company borrowed \$3 million by way of a secured, non-interest bearing bridge loan (the "**Bridge Loan**") from Concept Capital Management Ltd. ("**CCM**"), which was subsequently repaid by the issuance by the Company of a 6% convertible debenture in the principal amount of C\$3 million (the "**Debenture**"). Terms of the Bridge Loan and the Debenture are described below under "Debt Financing".

Upon AGC exercising its option to purchase, rental payments totaling \$290,000 per annum on the Monarch Property were terminated. Monarch retained a variable net smelter return royalty, varying from 0.5% to a maximum rate of 3.5% for gold prices exceeding \$665 per ounce. As at June 30, 2014, advance royalty payments of \$1,500,000 had been paid by AGC to Monarch and will be deducted from future royalty payments to Monarch.

2. Hill & Davis

The Hill & Davis patented mining claim was purchased for \$139,500 in five annual payments, with the final payment being made in December 2010.

3. F. C. Gardner

AGC leases 31 unpatented lode claims pursuant to a lease agreement, as amended, with F. C. Gardner. The lease expires on April 18, 2016. Lease payments are currently \$10,000 per year and are treated as minimum annual advance royalties. If these claims go into commercial production before expiry of the lease, then the annual minimum advance royalty will be \$20,000. If this property is mined, F.C. Gardner will receive a 6% NSR, from which all advance royalty payments shall be deducted. As at June 30, 2014 advance royalty payments of \$208,500 have been made and will be deducted from any future royalty payments to F. C. Gardner.

4. Hollenbeck Properties LLC

AGC leases 10 patented and 5 unpatented claims pursuant to a lease agreement with Hollenbeck Properties LLC. The lease has been extended to November 14, 2014 and is renewable year to year

thereafter at an amount to be negotiated. Lease payments of \$10,000 per year are treated as minimum advance royalties. If this property goes into commercial production, then the annual minimum advance royalty will be \$20,000. If it is mined, Hollenbeck will receive a 4.25% NSR, from which all advance royalty payments shall be deducted. As at June 30, 2014, advance royalty payments of \$312,500 had been paid and will be deducted from any future royalty payments to Hollenbeck.

Annual rental and advance royalty payments are required to keep lease agreements in good standing for the properties that collectively comprise the Atlanta Project. Advance royalty payments to lessors are credited against future royalties payable on production. As at June 30, 2014, advance royalty payments totalling \$2,021,000 will be deducted from any future royalty payments to lessors / royalty holders. Lease payments made in the second quarter of 2014 and advance royalty payments as at June 30, 2014 are summarized in the table below.

Lessor / Royalty Holder	Property	Payments in Q2 of 2014 \$	Advance Royalty Payments as at June 30, 2014 \$
Monarch Greenback, LLC ⁽¹⁾	Monarch Greenback	-	1,500,000
F. C. Gardner ⁽²⁾	Gardner	10,000	208,500
Hollenbeck Properties LLC ⁽³⁾	Minerva	-	312,500
TOTAL		10,000	2,021,000

Notes:

- (1) *Rental payments to Monarch totalling \$290,000 per annum were extinguished upon purchase of this property in June 2011.*
- (2) *\$10,000 annual lease payment was paid on May 1, 2014.*
- (3) *\$10,000 annual lease payment was paid on November 15, 2013 on the Hollenbeck claims. The lease currently runs to November 2014 and is renewable thereafter.*

DEBT FINANCING

Debenture

To assist in the financing of the purchase of the Monarch Property, the Company borrowed \$3 million by way of the Bridge Loan, which was secured by a limited recourse guarantee of AGC with recourse limited to a mortgage on the Monarch Property. The Bridge Loan was repaid on December 14, 2011 upon issuance by the Company to CCM of the Debenture, and of warrants to purchase 30 million common shares of the Company. The warrants are exercisable for five years at a price of C\$0.11 per share.

The Debenture matures on December 15, 2016, bears interest of 6% per annum commencing from July 11, 2011, and is convertible in whole or in part at the option of CCM at any time into common shares of the Company at a conversion price of C\$0.10 per share (the “**Conversion Price**”). Interest on the Debenture is payable annually beginning December 14, 2012 and, at the election of the Company, may be paid in cash or, subject to the approval of the TSX Venture Exchange (the “**TSXV**”), in common shares at an issue price per share equal to the average of the closing prices of the Company’s common shares on the TSXV for the 20 trading days ending 5 business days prior to the interest payment date or such higher issue price as may be required by the policies of the TSXV. In December 2012, the

Company issued 5,148,951 common shares in satisfaction of C\$257,448 of accrued interest and in December 2013, issued 3,600,000 common shares to CCM, in satisfaction of C\$180,000 of interest, in each instance at a deemed issue price of C\$0.05 per share. If an event of default occurs, interest on the Debenture will be payable at the rate of 8.5% per annum, for so long as the default continues.

CCM has agreed that, without the prior consent of the TSXV, it will not exercise its conversion rights under the Debenture or exercise the warrants if following such conversion or exercise, it would beneficially own or exercise control or direction over more than 15% of the Company's then outstanding shares.

The Debenture is subordinated in right of payment of principal and interest to all secured debt of the Company, whether outstanding on or after the date of issue of the Debenture. AGC provided CCM with a guarantee of the Debenture, with recourse limited to a mortgage on the Monarch Property. The Company has agreed to not permit AGC to incur additional secured debt in excess of \$10 million (subject to certain exceptions) without the prior consent of CCM, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

The Company has the right to redeem all or part of the Debenture if the closing price of the Company's common shares on the TSXV on each of the 27 consecutive trading days prior to notice of redemption being provided, is not less than C\$0.35 (3.5 times the Conversion Price). Subject to the satisfaction of that condition, the Company will have the right to redeem all or part of the Debenture by paying the principal and accrued interest thereon plus a redemption fee of 4% if redeemed prior to December 14, 2014 or 2% if redeemed prior to December 14, 2015. The Company must provide 30 days prior notice of redemption and CCM may elect to convert the Debenture into common shares prior to redemption being affected.

CCM has the right to require the Company to redeem the Debenture at any time after December 14, 2014 and at any time following a change of control or merger transaction. A change of control means the acquisition by any person or group of persons acting jointly or in concert, of more than 50% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company. Merger means any transaction (whether by way of consolidation, amalgamation, merger, transfer, sale or lease) whereby all or substantially all of the Company's assets would become the property of any other person or in the case of any such consolidation, amalgamation or merger, of the continuing corporation or other entity resulting therefrom.

On August 25, 2014, CCM requested that the Company redeem the Debenture on December 15, 2014 in accordance with the Debenture's early redemption provisions. The Debenture is subordinate and junior in right of payment to the Company's senior secured notes.

Gold Option

The Company and AGC entered into a gold option contract with CCM. AGC granted to CCM an option to purchase, at a price of \$1,400 per troy ounce, an aggregate of 4,000 troy ounces of gold produced from the Atlanta Project. This option will vest after AGC has completed production from the Atlanta Project of 20,000 troy ounces of gold and will expire on the fifth anniversary following the date of vesting. The Company guaranteed the performance of AGC's obligations under the contract.

\$425,000 Secured Promissory Note of AGC

On August 4, 2011, in partial payment for a 5.58 acre property located in Boise, Idaho purchased from 3N LLC, AGC issued a \$425,000 three-year promissory note, bearing simple interest of 7% per annum,

which is secured by a mortgage on the acquired property. AGC makes monthly interest payments of \$2,490 on the promissory note. In March 2014, the maturity date of the promissory note was extended to July 22, 2015 with all other terms and conditions of the note remaining unchanged.

Secured Notes

On August 19, 2013, the Company completed the private placement of units consisting of senior secured notes (“**Secured Notes**”) and warrants to purchase common shares of the Company. The Company issued \$4 million principal amount Secured Notes and warrants to purchase 4,000,000 common shares. Insiders of the Company purchased 12.5% of the placement (\$500,000) and CCM purchased 32.5% of the placement.

The Secured Notes bear interest of 10% per annum and the principal, amortized at 25%, 35% and 40%, was repayable in cash installments on August 31, 2014, August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2016, respectively. The Secured Notes are secured by the limited recourse guarantee by AGC, and by a mortgage of AGC’s interest in the Atlanta Project.

Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company for C\$0.10 per share until August 31, 2016. The Company will have the right to accelerate the expiry date of the warrants if the closing price of the Company’s common shares on the TSXV exceeds C\$0.25 for 20 consecutive days on which the Company’s shares trade.

Purchasers of the units also received options exercisable until August 31, 2016 to purchase an aggregate of 95.0 troy ounces of gold at \$1,125 per ounce for each 100,000 units purchased (\$100,000). The options to purchase gold vest in three installments over the term of the option. A total of 3,800 ounces of gold were optioned by the Company in respect of this financing. Under the terms of the gold options, either the Company or the option holder may elect that the gold delivery requirement be satisfied by means of a cash payment based on the prevailing market price for gold at the time of exercise of the option.

On August 26, 2014, the Company reached an agreement with the majority holders of the Secured Notes to amend the terms of the Secured Notes and to amend the terms of the accompanying warrants and gold options. The Secured Notes will be amended to extend the repayment dates by one year, such that the principal amount, amortized at 25%, 35% and 40%, will be repayable in cash installments on August 31 of 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively. The interest accrued on the Secured Notes to August 31, 2014 will be satisfied by the issuance of common shares of the Company, at the rate of one common share for each C\$0.05 of accrued interest, which will result in the issuance of 9,006,692 common shares, representing approximately 3.5% of the Company’s currently outstanding shares.

Holder of the warrants who consent thereto will have the expiry date of their warrants extended to August 31, 2017. The Company retains the right to accelerate the expiry date of the warrants if the closing price of the Company’s common shares on the TSXV exceeds C\$0.25 for 20 consecutive days on which the Company’s shares trade. Holder of the gold options who consent thereto will have the number of ounces purchasable under their options increased by 10% and will have the expiry date of their options extended to August 31, 2017. Assuming that all holders of gold options agree to the amendment, the aggregate number of ounces subject to the gold options will increase from 3,800 to 4,180 troy ounces.

The proposed amendments, including the issuance of shares in satisfaction of accrued interest and the extension of the warrants' expiry date, are subject to the approval of the TSXV.

Convertible loan

In June, 2014, the Company borrowed \$600,000 by means of a convertible loan from a corporation controlled by Eric Sprott. The loan is unsecured and non-interest bearing and is to be repaid by delivery to the lender of 1,000 troy ounces of gold (or the cash equivalent thereof) payable in installments over approximately an 18-month period. The loan is convertible at the lender's election into common shares of the Company at a conversion price of C\$0.05 per share during the initial 12 months and at C\$0.10 per share thereafter. The lender also received a 5-year option to purchase, solely from gold produced from the Neal Property, up to 2,500 ounces of gold at \$1,400 per ounce.

Overview of Financial Results

Cash used in operating activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$100,000 and \$381,000, respectively (2013 - \$245,000 and \$294,000). The increase in the first six months of 2014 over the first six months of 2013 reflects that payments of the Company's payables and accrued liabilities were increased in the first six months of 2014, following completion of the Secured Note financing in the third quarter of 2013 and of the convertible loan in June 2014.

Cash from financing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$665,000 and \$952,000, respectively (2013 - \$670,000 and \$891,000). The increase in the first six months of 2014 compared with the first six months of 2013 resulted from completion of the convertible loan, offset by a decrease in loans from shareholders.

Cash used in investing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$553,000 and \$1,056,000, respectively (2013 - \$394,000 and \$613,000) resulting from mineral property expenditures of \$377,000 and \$632,000, respectively (2013 - \$285,000 and \$495,000), property, plant and equipment purchases of \$66,000 and \$258,000, respectively (2013 - \$109,000 and \$119,000) and payment of rehabilitation of \$110,000 and \$166,000, respectively (2013 - Nil and Nil).

Debt and Equity Financing

The Company did not complete any equity financings during the first six months of 2014 or during the first six months of 2013.

In the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company completed the \$600,000 convertible loan financing.

In light of its limited cash position and working capital deficiency, shareholders and other investors including insiders of the Company, from time to time advance funds to the Company and AGC in the form of shareholders' loans. During the first six months of 2014, the Company supplemented its cash position by borrowing \$352,000 from directors and shareholders. The loans bear interest of 8% per annum. Approximately 20% of the total loans are repayable on demand and approximately 80% of the total loans are repayable on demand after September 19, 2014.

In comparison, the Company borrowed \$891,000 from directors and shareholders during the first six months of 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The mineral properties in which the Company currently has an interest are in the exploration stages and, consequently, the Company has no current source of operating revenue and is dependent on external financing to fund continued exploration and development of its mineral properties. Historically, the Company's principal sources of funding have been the issuance of equity securities for cash, and more recently, by the debt financings described under "Debt Financing".

The decline in gold prices and the challenging financial markets currently faced by companies in the gold sector generally have had a significant adverse affect on the Company's share price and on its ability to raise additional funds through equity financings in a timely manner. In addition, uncertainty arising from the environmental litigation involving AGC (since resolved) has adversely affected the Company's share price and financing efforts.

The Company's cash position as at June 30, 2014 was \$236,000 compared to \$721,000 as at December 31, 2013. The Company's working capital deficiency as at June 30, 2014 was \$4,532,000 compared to a deficiency of \$3,408,000 as at December 31, 2013.

	As at	
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 236,000	\$ 721,000
Current assets	\$ 331,000	\$ 812,000
Current liabilities	(4,863,000)	(4,220,000)
Working capital (deficiency) ⁽¹⁾	\$ (4,532,000)	\$ (3,408,000)

⁽¹⁾ Working capital (deficiency) is defined as current assets net of current liabilities, which is a non-GAAP measure. Non-GAAP financial measures do not have any standardized meanings prescribed by IFRS, and therefore cannot be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Management believes that it is a useful measure in assessing the Company's liquidity.

As the Company is in the development stage, it has no ongoing source of cash flow. The Company intends to raise between C\$4 to C\$5 million in the near term, by means of one or more equity financings but possibly by means of the issuance of debt securities, or by a combination of both equity and debt. The terms of such financings have not been determined. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in completing the financings proposed and if the financings are delayed or are not completed, the Company will, in the short term, be required to reduce planned expenditures and / or rely on other sources of funds, which may include additional shareholder loans. The Company may also consider the sale of non-core assets to assist it in meeting its ongoing capital requirements. In the longer term, the Company will require additional financing to finance its exploration and development activities and to meet its debt servicing obligations. Additional funding may be in the form of equity and / or debt or also by obtaining direct investments in the Atlanta Project by joint venture partners. The inability to obtain additional funding on a timely basis will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, business and operations of the Company and AGC.

Equity

As at June 30, 2014, the Company had (a) 257,041,565 common shares issued and outstanding, (December 31, 2013 – 257,041,565); (b) stock options outstanding to purchase 1,330,000 common shares (December 31, 2013 – 4,105,000) at exercise prices ranging from C\$0.12 to C\$0.23 per share and

expiring between December 2014 and September 2015; and (c) Warrants to purchase 59,733,333 common shares (December 31, 2013 - 59,733,333) of the Company at exercise prices ranging between C\$0.10 and C\$0.15 per share, expiring between October 2014 and December 2016. In certain instances, the expiry dates of certain warrants may be accelerated by the Company. During the third quarter of 2014, the Company determined to extend the terms by 12 months of warrants to purchase a total of 25,733,000 common shares, which had been scheduled to expire during the fourth quarter of 2014. Shareholders' equity as at June 30, 2014 was \$34,502,000 compared to \$35,485,000 as at December 31, 2013. Stock options outstanding as at June 30, 2014 had a weighted average exercise price of C\$0.17 per share (December 31, 2013 - C\$0.28 per share) and a weighted average life of 12 months (December 31, 2013 - 8 months).

General and Administrative Expenses

Corporate overhead expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$413,000 compared to \$843,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 with the decrease attributable to lower professional fees, salaries and management fees and investor relations fees during the first six months of 2014.

Capital Expenditures

Atlanta Project, Idaho, USA:

Capital expenditures in the first six months of 2014 were \$857,000 and included expenditures on project administration, equipment rental, property carrying costs, capitalized depreciation of exploration and evaluation assets and payment of rehabilitation provisions for the Adit.

In comparison, expenditures in the first quarter of 2013 of \$687,000 included project administration, upgrading the water treatment facility, equipment rental, property carrying costs, applications for road construction permits and capitalized depreciation of exploration and evaluation assets.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures for the Atlanta Project for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are shown in the table below.

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Opening Balance	\$ 44,641,999	\$ 43,471,315	\$ 44,274,702	\$ 43,167,861
Equipment rental	22,209	44,307	24,224	70,856
Field expenses	3,178	3,755	6,436	6,326
Salaries	219,316	154,240	393,076	272,049
Lab analysis	13,809	2,015	26,566	3,222
Project administration	118,286	80,715	181,685	142,303
Depreciation - field equipment	112,900	98,154	225,008	191,884
Total Increase During the Period⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 489,698	\$ 383,186	\$ 856,995	\$ 686,640
Closing Balance	\$ 45,131,697	\$ 43,854,501	\$ 45,131,697	\$ 43,854,501

- (1) Additions in the six months ended June 30, 2014 include expenditures on project administration, equipment rental, property carrying costs, capitalized depreciation of exploration and evaluation assets and payment of rehabilitation provisions for the Adit.
- (2) Additions in the six months ended June 30, 2013 include expenditures on project administration, upgrading the water treatment facility, equipment rental, property carrying costs, applications for road construction permits and capitalized depreciation of exploration and evaluation assets.

Property, plant and equipment:

The net additions to property, plant and equipment for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$33,000, including land, building and equipment purchases, water treatment facility additions and capitalized interest on the East Amity Road property, which was reduced by depreciation expenses.

In comparison, net disposals to property, plant and equipment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$179,000, including water treatment facility additions and capitalized interest on the East Amity Road property, which was reduced by depreciation expenses.

Contingencies and Commitments

The Company has made commitments in respect of its head office leases, payments against the penalty levied by the Court, implementation of the SPOO, long- term debt, mineral properties and other leases as follows:

(\$ in 000's)

	Total	Payments Due by Period		
		Years 1 to 2	Years 3 to 4	Beyond Year 4
Head office	11	11	-	-
Penalty, attorney fees and litigation costs	1,875	625	800	450
Implementation of Supplemental Plan of Operations ⁽¹⁾	1,324	1,324	-	-
Rehabilitation provisions ⁽⁸⁾	36	36	-	-
Long-term debt ^{(2) (3)(4)}	8,794	4,121	4,673	-
Other long-term obligations ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	10	10	-	-
Short-term debt ⁽⁹⁾	1,321	1,321	-	-
Total Contractual Obligations	13,371	7,448	5,473	450

- (1) During the third quarter of 2012, the USFS granted approval of maintenance and additions to the existing Pilot Water Treatment Facility and closure of the Adit, to be completed during 2015.
- (2) See the description of the Debenture under "Debt Financing".
- (3) See the description of the \$425,000 Secured Promissory Note under "Debt Financing".
- (4) See the description of the Secured Notes under "Debt Financing".
- (5) See "Ownership of Atlanta Properties: F.C. Gardner".
- (6) Canadian American Mining Company LLC retains a 1% net smelter royalty on the Atlanta Project.
- (7) Monarch retains a variable net smelter return royalty, varying from 0.5% to a maximum rate of 3.5% for gold prices exceeding \$665 per ounce, on the 430-acre property purchased by AGC from Monarch in June 2011. As at June 30, 2014, advance royalty payments of \$1,500,000 had been made by AGC and will be deducted from future royalty payments to Monarch.
- (8) The second phase of the restoration program at the Brodeur diamond property will be completed in 2014 and 2015.
- (9) On June 11, 2014, the Company borrowed \$600,000 by means of a convertible loan. The loan is unsecured and non-interest bearing and is to be repaid by delivery to the lender of 1,000 troy ounces of gold (or the cash equivalent thereof) payable in installments over an 18-month period. The gold payments (1,000 troy ounces) were valued at \$1,321,000

Details and a discussion of the environmental litigation are included in the "Environmental Matters" section above and in Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies to the Company's audited consolidated

financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 and Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies to the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following table discloses certain financial data for the eight most recently completed quarters, expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars (except basic per share data).

Quarter ended	Total Revenues (4)	General and Administrative Expenses	Net Loss (Income) ⁽³⁾	Basic and Fully Diluted Loss (Income) Per Share
June 30, 2014	-	250	2,670 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.01
March 31, 2014	-	162	(1,567) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	(0.01)
December 31, 2013	-	215	(3,045) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	(0.01)
September 30, 2013	-	308	637 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.00
June 30, 2013	-	470	568 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.00
March 31, 2013	-	361	451 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.00
December 31, 2012	-	442	343 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.00
September 30, 2012	-	211	2,530 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	0.01

- (1) Includes: Mineral property costs expensed as follows: Nil during the second quarter of 2014; \$1,000 during the first quarter of 2014; Nil during the fourth quarter of 2013; \$28,000 during the third quarter of 2013; Nil during the second quarter of 2013; \$11,000 during the first quarter of 2013; \$103,000 during the fourth quarter of 2012; Nil during the third quarter of 2012.
- (2) Includes stock-based compensation expense charged as follows: Nil during the second quarter of 2014; Nil during the first quarter of 2014; Nil during the fourth quarter of 2013; \$67 during the third quarter of 2013; \$166 during the second quarter of 2013; \$324 during the first quarter of 2013; \$1,000 during the fourth quarter of 2012; Nil during the third quarter of 2012.
- (3) The Company has not incurred any losses arising from discontinued operations or extraordinary items in the last eight quarters.
- (4) Since the Company is not in production, it does not generate any revenue.
- (5) Net income in the first quarter of 2014 and fourth quarter of 2013 arose from the foreign exchange gain which primarily arose from the impact of the translation of intercompany loans by the Company to AGC.

The Company presently operates in two countries, Canada and the United States. The Company has an interest in two mineral properties located in Idaho, U.S.A. and one in Quebec, Canada, all of which are principally gold properties. In December 2013, the Company elected to have its interest in the Brodeur diamond property lapse. The Company's activities since early 2008 have focused on the Atlanta Project, an advanced stage gold exploration property, and since June 2014, at the patented lode claims known as the Neal Property.

The Atlanta Project's property is accessible by highway and county-maintained roads. The level of the Company's development activities at the Atlanta Project is impacted by winter weather conditions, resulting in lower overall levels of activity on the project during that season. To date, the Company has conducted exploration on a seasonal (May / June to October / November) basis. However, as the Atlanta Project advances towards the production stage and permanent camp and other facilities are constructed, the impact of adverse weather conditions is expected to be somewhat reduced and the Company intends to conduct exploration, development, mining and milling activities on a year-round basis. The Neal Property is accessible during all-seasons and it is anticipated that processing of material from that

property will primarily occur during those months when the Atlanta Project is inaccessible.

The Company assesses, on a regular basis, whether any impairment has occurred in the carrying value of its mineral properties. If such impairment has occurred, a write-down is charged in the period that the impairment took place. The Company has determined that no charges had to be taken against the Atlanta Project in 2013. The Company wrote-off the carrying value of its Quebec gold property in 2007.

Outlook

The price of gold is the most significant factor that will affect the Company's future profitability and operating cash flows. Notwithstanding the recent decline in gold prices, in the longer term, the Company expects gold to remain attractive as a safe haven as many developed nations continue to struggle with economic uncertainty, elevated debt levels and respond with loose monetary policies. The growing middle class in emerging economies is expected to provide further support for gold prices.

As the Company continues to make progress building its resource base (at a low discovery cost per ounce), and the associated environmental and economic framework, it expects that industry interest in the Atlanta Project will continue to develop. The Company continues to invest in equipment, infrastructure and property, has increased the depth of its operational team and has made progress in reducing historic royalties, all of which serve to advance the Atlanta Project, reduce future capital and operating costs and demonstrate the continuing confidence that management has in the Atlanta Project.

The Company is focusing on safeguarding the environment, environmental permitting, economic analysis, engineering and development. Metallurgical testing of the samples produced from the trenches in 2012 and 2013 has produced critical information that will be useful in initiating pilot scale gold processing in advance of commercial gold production. Additional testing of the trench material continues.

The plan for the balance of 2014 is: (1) to excavate from the Neal Property and process a twenty thousand to thirty thousand (20,000-30,000) ton bulk sample so as to determine the most effective gravity recovery system for mining and processing of the Neal Property lode in both the oxide and sulfide zones; and (2) to continue to implement the SPOO for the Atlanta 900 Adit Closure and Reclamation Plan pertaining to the water treatment plant.

The Neal Property is located approximately 45 air miles southwest of the Atlanta Project. The Neal Property is hosted within the same granodiorite rocks of the Idaho Batholith as the Atlanta Project. It is also within the same Trans-Atlanta-Challis fault zone as the Atlanta Project. It is at a much lower elevation than the Atlanta Project and will allow year round access to mineralized material that will help to further refine the processing equipment and procedures expected to be ultimately used at the Atlanta Project. The bulk sample planned for the Neal Property will provide the opportunity to test excavation and processing methods. A Notice of Motorized Exploration (NOME) has been written and will need to be submitted to the State of Idaho within seven days of the beginning of excavation of the bulk sample. A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has also been generated and will need to be kept on file at the Boise office and at the project site.

Results to date from concentrate produced from the trench sample taken in 2013 continue to confirm gravity recovery of gold at 83% or greater. The 83% recovery was used in the model developed by P&E for their NI 43-101 compliant resource estimate (see "NI 43-101 Resource Estimate").

The passive water filtration system was installed at Atlanta in October 2012 and expanded during 2013. The final stage of the government-approved SPOO is to plug the 900 cross-cut and complete the closure plan for the Adit site by December 2015.

AGC intends to construct an "all seasons" access road. The request to construct the road was supported by residents, property owners and visiting sportsmen via a petition to the Elmore County Commissioners and Planning Board. The "Gold Claim" road will follow historic routing and cross public lands to the main shear zone of the Atlanta Mine's private property. This road will also provide a firebreak to protect the forested north-facing slope of the Atlanta Project landholdings. The North Slope is the area within which the historic Atlanta community is located. The Company has received approval for the construction of the private access road from the Atlanta Highway District (see news release dated December 12, 2012) and construction is expected to commence in Q2 of 2015 and should take approximately three weeks to be completed.

During the 2014 season, access to the site will be by Forest Service road FS207. This road is located adjacent to Montezuma Creek and has been the historic access to the property. During the second quarter, the Company completed improvements and maintenance to approximately 2 miles of this road which included, but was not limited to, widening the road, establishing safety berms in certain areas and installing water bars, ditches, silt fences and catch basins to minimize erosion. Once the primary access road is constructed (Gold Claim road) in 2015, this road will be maintained as a firebreak and to allow emergency exit from the project site and the town of Atlanta.

The Company has limited financial resources and no source of operating revenue. In the past, it has relied on debt / equity financings to maintain its exploration, environmental permitting, and engineering and development activities and meet its administrative costs. The Company continues to seek capital through various means including by the issuance of debt and equity financing and the possible joint venturing of a direct interest in the Atlanta Project. The previous environmental legal action against AGC by two environmental interest groups has adversely affected the Company's ability to finance its activities and operations. However, with the entry of the final judgment, including in respect of a five-year payment plan and AGC's success in implementing water treatment initiatives so as to comply with the NPDES permit requirements, management believes that a significant amount of uncertainty regarding the Atlanta Project has been eliminated. However, if the Company experiences significant delays in obtaining additional funding necessary to fund its ongoing operating and capital requirements and debt servicing obligations on a timely basis, this will have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, business and plan of operations.

AGC continues to rely on the commitment and expertise of its management team, its professional advisors, employees and contractors to ensure compliance with current laws and foster a climate of open communication and co-operation with the various state and federal environmental agencies.

The Atlanta Project is a significant asset with near-term production potential. It has considerable Indicated and Inferred Resources and significant potential to develop known gold deposits within the boundaries of property controlled or owned by the Company that are expected to provide substantial long-term economic and environmental benefits to the town of Atlanta, the surrounding communities and the State of Idaho, as well as to the Company and its shareholders.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Transactions with Related Parties

The remuneration of key management personnel during the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$309,242 (June 30, 2013 - \$268,128), of which one-half of a senior officer's salary was unpaid. The Company had accrued \$219,231 (December 31, 2013 - \$261,846) of salary to the senior officer's salary including \$144,231 accrued for 2013 and \$75,000 accrued for the first six months of 2014. This amount is unsecured and has no fixed terms of repayment. The interest rate is 7% per annum on unpaid remuneration.

At June 30, 2014, Shareholders' loans of \$636,698 (December 31, 2013 - \$284,862) were payable to various directors and shareholders of the Company. Of the total amount, \$284,625 (December 31, 2013 - \$284,862) was owed to a director for the purchase of equipment and it was evidenced by demand promissory notes at interest rate of 7% per annum and was secured against the equipment purchased. The remaining loans of \$352,073 (December 31, 2013 - Nil) were from directors and shareholders. The loans bear interest of 8% per annum and of which approximately 20% of the total is repayable on demand and approximately 80% is repayable on demand after September 19, 2014.

Share Capital

As at August 26, 2014, the Company has 257,041,565 common shares outstanding, incentive stock options outstanding to purchase 1,330,000 common shares at prices ranging from C\$0.12 to C\$0.23 per share for terms ending between December 2014 and September 2015, and warrants outstanding to purchase 59,733,333 common shares at prices ranging from C\$0.10 to C\$0.15 per share, expiring between October 2015 and December 2016. The Company intends to seek the approval of the TSXV to the extension of the expiry date of warrants to purchase 4,000,000 common shares at C\$0.10 per share from August 31, 2016 to August 31, 2017

Accounting Policies

No new accounting policies were adopted in the first six months of 2014. The Company is presently assessing the impact of the following accounting standards which have been issued but not applied: Amendment to IAS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation, Amendment to IAS 36, Impairment of assets on recoverable amount disclosures, IFRS 9, Financial instruments and IFRIC 21, Levies.

Uncertainties and Risk Factors

The Company does not currently hold any interest in a mining property in production and its future success depends upon its ability to find, develop, exploit and generate revenue from mineral deposits, whether through profitable operations or from proceeds of disposition of assets. Exploration and development of mineral deposits involve significant financial risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate and there can be no assurance that the Atlanta Project or any of the Company's other properties will ultimately be developed into a profitable mining operation. As a result, the securities of the Company must be considered speculative and readers should carefully consider the following factors:

Financing Risk

The Company has limited financial resources and no operating cash flow. Until profitable production is achieved, the Company is dependent on debt or equity financings and / or the sale, farm-out or lease of assets to provide the funds necessary for the Company's operating and capital expenditures. The ability to obtain financing is, in addition to factors specific to the Company, subject to a number of factors beyond the

control of the Company, including fluctuations in gold prices and currency exchange rates and changes in the financial markets. Although the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining requisite funding, there can be no assurance that additional funding in amounts and on terms satisfactory to the Company will be available on a timely basis to fund the further exploration and development of the Atlanta Project or to fulfill its obligations under applicable agreements. Failure to obtain such funding has resulted in delay and could in the future result in the delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the Company's properties and in the possible dilution or loss of interests in such properties. If the Company raises additional funding through the issue of equity securities, such financings will dilute the holdings of the Company's existing shareholders.

Secured Indebtedness

The Company's Secured Notes are guaranteed by AGC and secured by a mortgage on AGC's Atlanta Project and the Company's Debenture is guaranteed by AGC and is secured by a mortgage on the Monarch portion of the Atlanta Project. Should the Company fail to comply with its obligations under the Secured Notes or the Debenture, the holders of the Secured Notes or the holder of the Debenture could seek to enforce their mortgage security, which could result in AGC losing its interest in the Atlanta Project or the Monarch portion of the Atlanta Project. If the holder of the Debenture elects to convert all or a portion of the Debenture into common shares of the Company or if the holders of the Secured Notes or the holder of the Debenture elect to exercise any of the warrants held by such holders, the holdings of the Company's existing shareholders will be diluted.

In connection with the Secured Note and Debenture financings, AGC issued options to acquire gold from AGC. If AGC is unable to obtain sufficient gold from the Atlanta Project prior to the exercise of the gold options, it will be necessary for AGC to purchase gold from other sources to deliver to the option holders or to otherwise satisfy the gold delivery commitment by means of an equivalent cash payment. The amount of such cash payment will be dependent on the price of gold at the time of exercise, and accordingly, the amount cannot be determined at this time but could be significant. Delays in obtaining gold or the failure to obtain sufficient gold from the Atlanta Project could have a material adverse affect on the financial condition, business and operations of AGC and the Company.

Fluctuations in Gold Price

A significant and prolonged decline in the gold price would significantly reduce the economic prospects of the Atlanta Project and could render it uneconomic. A significant and prolonged decline in the gold price could require the Company to reduce its estimates of Mineral Resources, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's value.

Litigation Risk

The mining industry is frequently subject to legal claims, particularly with respect to environmental matters. The Company's subsidiary, AGC, has been ordered to pay a penalty in the amount of \$2,000,000, payable in quarterly installments over a five-year period. While AGC expects to fully comply with the terms of the payment arrangement, if AGC is unable to pay the penalty and related interest within the allotted times, the Court may impose additional financial or other sanctions, which could be significant. The U.S. Government may also take steps to enforce its security against the property and equipment provided by AGC as security for payment of the penalty, which could result in the loss of AGC's interest in the secured property and equipment.

Exploration and Development

Exploration for gold is highly speculative in nature, involves many risks and is frequently unsuccessful.

There can be no assurance that exploration efforts will result in the discovery of additional mineralization or that any mineralization discovered will be converted into Mineral Reserves. Existing mineral resource estimates for the Atlanta Project included herein are estimates only, and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of minerals will in fact be realized or that identified mineral resources will ultimately qualify as a commercially viable deposit that can be legally and economically exploited. In addition, the grade of mineralization which may ultimately be mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and resource estimates and such differences could be material.

Development projects rely on the accuracy of predicted factors, including capital and operating costs, metallurgical recoveries, mineral resource and reserve estimates and, in the case of Atlanta, future prices for gold and to a lesser extent, silver. Development projects are also subject to accurate pre- feasibility or feasibility studies, the acquisition of water and land rights, including land required for necessary infrastructure relating to the development of the project and the issuance of necessary governmental permits. Due to the number of years and substantial expenditures required from the time of initial drilling to production, the economic feasibility of production and level of profitability may change due to changes in mineral prices, commodity prices, labour and equipment costs and/or other factors which may result in material cost overruns versus budgeted amounts.

Environmental Factors

The mining industry is subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased penalties for non-compliance and more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations or result in significant costs and liabilities in the future.

Governmental Regulation and Permits

Exploration and development activities and mining operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing exploration, development, production, occupational health and safety, waste disposal, protection and remediation of the environment, reclamation, taxes and other matters. Compliance with such laws and regulations necessarily imposes costs and may result in delays in planning, designing, developing, constructing, operating, and closing mines and other facilities. There can be no assurance that future changes in such laws and regulations, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations. The Company's operations require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. Obtaining these licenses and permits is a complex and time- consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and regulatory authorities. While the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining the requisite permits and licenses, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary permits that may be required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations.

Dependence on Key Personnel, Consultants and Contractors

The Company currently has a small management group and has used a limited number of consultants and contractors, which is sufficient for the Company's present stage of activity. The Company is currently highly dependent on the principal members of its senior management group and the loss of one or more of their services could have an adverse effect on the Company's operations and future development and growth.

Capital and Operating Estimates

The Company's projected capital and operating cost estimates have been developed only to an approximate order of magnitude based on generally understood capital cost to production level relationships and they are

not based on any systematic engineering studies, so the ultimate costs may vary widely from estimated amounts and may take longer than normal to optimize. As is normal at this stage of a project, data is incomplete and estimates were developed based solely on the expertise of the individuals involved. At this level of engineering, the criteria, methods and estimates are very preliminary and require a high level of subjective judgment. There can be no assurance that the Company's operating and financial estimates will be realized, and differences from actual results may be material.

Infrastructure

Exploration, development, mining and processing activities depend on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, bridges, power sources and water supply are important determinants, which affect capital and operating costs. Unusual or infrequent weather phenomena, sabotage, government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect the Company's operations, financial condition and results of operations.

Share Price Fluctuations

The securities markets in Canada and elsewhere generally, and the Company's shares specifically, have in recent years experienced a high level of price volatility. The market prices of securities of many companies, including those like the Company, considered to be development-stage companies, have experienced wide fluctuations in price which are not necessarily related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurances that continuing fluctuations in the Company's share price will not occur.

Additional Information

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

August 26, 2014